

WE SOLVE ODOR-FOG-SLUDGE ISSUES AND REDUCE ENERGY/CHEMICAL COSTS



INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT

LARGE CHICKEN PROCESSOR

Operating Challenges

- Low performance of anaerobic pretreatment lagoons
- · FOG accumulation in anaerobic lagoons
- High Fat Oil Grease offsite disposal costs related to DAF operation
- · Odor issues
- · High energy costs related aerobic treatment
- · Aerobic sludge volume accumulation
- · High FOG/Sludge, dredging/disposal costs
- · Costly land application restrictions
- · Nitrification denitrification issues
- · Overall plant overloading
- · Overall high plant operating costs

Treatment Plan & Execution

SciCorp worked with plant operating staff and developed treatment approach using SciCorp technology to address operating challenges.

SciCorp recommended the following:

BIOLOGIC™ SR2 addition at:

- ✓ Inlet to anaerobic pretreatment lagoons
- Inlet to sludge storage lagoons



Process changes implemented by plant staff to optimize utilization of SciCorp technology resulted in:

- FOG crust layer in pretreatment lagoons reduced 85%–95%
- BOD/COD removal in pretreatment lagoons increased from 40% to 85%
- Odors eliminated from anaerobic pretreatment lagoons
- BOD load to aerobic treatment, decreased by 85%
- Sludge accumulation in sludge pond eliminated
- Dredging of crust in anaerobic pretreatment lagoons eliminated

- Dredging frequency of sludge pond reduced by 75%
- Aeration demand for aerobic system dropped by 50%–75%
- Denitrification improved by 50% without adding carbon source
- Wastewater plant organic load capacity increased by 50%
- Operational cost savings using SciCorp technology showed 5:1 ratio (savings: costs)

Environmental/Carbon Footprint Impact Benefits

- Energy use dropped by 50% in aerobic treatment
- Energy use related to dredging and offsite disposal of FOG solids and sludge solids eliminated
- · Carbon footprint of wastewater plant operations reduced 35-50%

Issues Avoided

Capital expenditures due to plant over loading avoided

FOG/Sludge land application ban no impact on plant operation

Offsite FOG/Sludge treatment avoided

Increase in dredging/disposal costs avoided by onsite treatment



Increase in plant capacity



Improvement in anaerobic pretreatment efficiency



Diversion of FOG/Sludge application to onsite biological degradation



Odor eliminated from anaerobic pretreatment lagoons and sludge pond

